

1 **Influence of teat flow rate in commercial milk feeding systems on calf digestion and**  
2 **performance**

3 **R. McInnes, D. McInnes, R. Papworth and A. McIntyre**

4 McInnes Manufacturing, 44 Cove Road, Waipu, Whangarei 0510

5 Ross McInnes, P.O. Box 115, Waipu 0545, Telephone +64 09 4320771, Fax +64 09 4320777,  
6 ross@milkbar.co.nz

7

8 **ABSTRACT**

9 Seventy two, one day old Friesian heifer calves were fed whole milk either via medium or slow  
10 release teats on commercial calfeteria systems (Milkbar, McInnes Manufacturing Limited,  
11 Waipu, New Zealand) for 42 days. For the entire period, the consumption time for the calves  
12 fed on the medium flow rate teat calfeterias was twice as fast as for those fed on the slow teat  
13 calfeterias. Meal intake was numerically higher for the calves fed using the slow teats. At day  
14 42, calves on the slow teats had a strong trend towards higher daily gain. At 14 days of age,  
15 visual differences in curding were seen in the abomasum of culled calves, with the fast teat-fed  
16 animals having large lumps of curded milk surrounded by watery liquid, whereas the slow teat-  
17 fed calves had much smaller particle sized curding in thicker fluid. Lactose digestion in the  
18 stomach was significantly higher for the calves fed using the slow flow rate teats, and there  
19 was a strong trend for higher levels of free protein in the ileum. Using slow flow rate teats to  
20 feed calves from day old to weaning appears to have an important impact on digestive processes  
21 in the immature gut. Such improvements in digestion and rumen development in young calves  
22 may assist in the digestion of milk and other feeds, leading to improved growth performance.  
23 Under farm conditions, slow release teat system may reduce scours and other digestive  
24 problems in young calves during peak milk intake (up to 15 d of age), due to increased ileal  
25 digestion of nutrients, preventing undigested nutrient flow to the hind gut.

26

27 **Keywords:** calf, teat flow rate, digestion, feed intake, rumen development

28

## 29 **Introduction**

30 The rate of milk feeding in calves fed from calfeterias may vary due to several factors,  
31 including the size of the calf at birth, the speed of learning to drink from teats, the ability to  
32 compete within a group and flow rate of milk from the rubber teats used. If left on the dam to  
33 be reared naturally, calves are more regulated in milk intake, as the cow will govern their  
34 consumption times, and milk is available throughout the day (Albright and Arave, 1997). In  
35 commercial rearing situations, calves are fed twice or once a day (Ternouth and Roy, 1973;  
36 Jasper and Weary, 2002) depending on age, and typically have to compete with others in their  
37 group for adequate intake (Jensen, 2003), especially when they are group housed in large pens  
38 and sheds, as is common practice in New Zealand rearing operations. In certain farming  
39 situations, due to lack of labour or time constraints, farmers prefer fast flow teats as this cuts  
40 down on the time needed to feed calves around other daily chores on the farm, some even  
41 slitting the teat end to speed up flow deliberately.

42 Clotting of milk in the immature, simple stomach (abomasum) of calves is an important first  
43 step in digestion (Frantzen *et al.*, 1973; Strudsholm, 1988; Longenbach and Heinrichs, 1998)  
44 although there is a dearth of information on how rate of milk consumption affects this important  
45 parameter. Additionally there is no information available specifically on how teat flow speed  
46 affects milk clotting, despite the fact that various teats are used widely in calf production today.  
47 Fast milk consumption can lead to problems in calves, including overfilling the immature  
48 stomach (Radostits and Bell, 1970; Blowey, 2008), leading to a flow of undigested milk into  
49 the intestines, where it can be utilised by pathogenic bacteria acquired from the environment  
50 further down the tract (Porter, 1969; Blowey, 2008). This can result in digestive disorders,

51 scouring and potential higher mortality, especially where calves are kept in environments with  
52 high bacterial loads and/or poor farm biosecurity (Wise and Lemaster, 1968; Longenbach,  
53 1998; Blowey, 2008). In addition, certain behavioural issues can be seen in calves which  
54 consume milk at faster speeds (Haley *et al.*, 1998; Margerison *et al.*, 2003; Herskin *et al.*, 2010).  
55 It appears that calves fed via fast flow systems, can become satiated more quickly, and therefore  
56 may not consume their daily allowance adequately, due to the large quantity of milk entering  
57 the immature stomach (Appleby *et al.*, 2001; Jasper and Weary, 2002). This has been  
58 associated with an increase of navel, tail and udder sucking (dePassillé, 2001; Jung and Lidfors,  
59 2001; Jensen, 2003, Jensen and Budde, 2006). Sucking the immature udder can lead to  
60 premature removal of the keratin plug, which protects the individual teats from infection,  
61 especially in heifers coming into first milk, as well as navel and skin infections. Both Haley *et*  
62 *al.*, 1998 and Herskin *et al.*, 2010 compared the behaviour of calves fed either via different  
63 diameter tubes from buckets or large or small teat apertures, leading to slow or fast drinking  
64 patterns in calves. In both cases, these authors reported that the slower fed calves had less  
65 incidence of non-nutritive (cross) sucking of body parts of other calves.

66

67 The aim of the current trial was to determine if medium and slow calfeteria teats give true  
68 differences in milk intake times, calf growth and digestive characteristics under controlled  
69 conditions.

70

## 71 **Materials and methods**

72 Seventy-two, one day old Friesian heifer calves were used in the experiment. Calves were fed  
73 whole milk via either the control teats (medium release) or the treatment teats (slow release),  
74 which are regulated via a mesh insert and aperture size, on commercial calfeteria systems  
75 (Milkbar, McInnes Manufacturing Limited, Waipu, New Zealand).

76

77 Twelve calves were randomly and individually housed in cubicles (1 m x 1.6 m) and fed using  
78 single teat calfeterias with either medium or slow teats (n=6). The remaining calves were  
79 randomly assigned to groups in six large (4 x 4 m) pens on deep litter wood shaving bedding,  
80 to give a minimum of 1.6 m<sup>2</sup> per calf (to adhere to New Zealand welfare legal rearing space  
81 limits of 1.5 m<sup>2</sup> per calf).

82

83 Calves were collected from pasture after 12 hours on the dam following birth, and transferred  
84 to the trial site. For four days they received 2 litres of colostrum twice a day. From five to  
85 eleven days of age, calves were fed 2.75 litres of half colostrum and half milk twice a day.  
86 From day 12 of age, calves were fed 5.5. litres of milk once a day (in morning), to allow an  
87 intake of 500 g of milk solids per calf per day at 9% dry matter content of whole milk (as per  
88 prior milk analysis). This is equivalent to recommended dry matter milk solids intakes for  
89 commercial milk replacers.

90

91 Three individually housed calves from each treatment were humanely culled at either seven or  
92 fourteen days of age and dissected in order to take samples from the abomasum for assessing  
93 curding (by photograph and sampling), upper ileum and rectum as well as being retained for  
94 digestibility. Animals were culled two hours after feeding to allow coagulation and flow of  
95 digesta into the ileum for sampling purposes (Smith and Sissons, 1975). Samples of colostrum  
96 and milk were retained for analysis of lactose and protein. At the end of the 42 day rearing  
97 period, all group housed heifer calves were returned to the farmer.

98

99 Calves were weighed on entry to the trial site and then weekly, to calculate their average daily  
100 gain (ADG). At every feeding, the time for each individually housed calf or group of calves to

101 consume the colostrum, half colostrum or whole milk was measured. The trial was conducted  
102 to Kaiawhina Animal Ethics Standards, New Zealand (approval code AEC005/14). Full trial  
103 biosecurity was afforded by the use of wheel and footbaths containing animal-safe, long lasting  
104 disinfectants (Credence, Kiotech-Agil Ltd, UK).

105

106 Data was analysed using the general linear model procedure of Unistat (UNISTAT 5.5,  
107 London, UK), with confidence limits set at 5% ( $P < 0.05$ ). For average daily gain, body weight  
108 at day old was used as a covariate to eliminate its potential influence on subsequent  
109 performance. In addition, the dataset for milk intake was analysed for the whole trial period  
110 and split by feeding phase: colostrum only (days 1-5 of age), half colostrum and half whole  
111 milk (6-12 days old) and whole milk only (from day 13 to the end of the trial).

112

## 113 **RESULTS**

114 Results for milk intake showed that those fed using medium release teat calfeterias had  
115 significantly shorter consumption time compared to those calves on the slow release teats  
116 (Table 1), with the medium teat fed calves consuming milk twice as fast as those fed using  
117 slow teats (2.1 min/l versus 4.2 min/l;  $P < 0.0001$ ) for the whole period. When broken down into  
118 the three feeding phases, those on the slow teats consumed milk at a rate of 5.7 min/l versus  
119 3.2 min/l during the colostrum phase (which includes the calfeteria training period where calves  
120 were slower to consume the milk). For the half colostrum, half milk phase, intake times were  
121 2 min/l for medium teats and 4.2 min/l for slow teats. For the whole milk feeding period (days  
122 13-42) consumption rates were 1.8 min/l for medium and 3.3 min/l for slow teats. Meal intake  
123 was not significantly higher between treatments, although those on the slow teat feeders were  
124 slightly numerically higher (Table 1).

125

126 Body weights and daily gains, when including day old body weight as a covariate, showed no  
127 initial significant differences. However, at 42 days of age, calves fed using the slow teats had  
128 a strong trend for higher average daily gains (0.738 kg/d slow teats versus 0.665 kg/d medium  
129 teats;  $P=0.0758$ ). This may reflect the differences in digestibility observed during the dissection  
130 of the stomach.

131

132 For samples from the cull calves, there were no significant differences seen in digestibility  
133 between the calves fed using the slow release and medium release teats at seven days of age  
134 (Table 2). However, at 14 days of age, the calves fed using the slow release teats had  
135 significantly ( $P=0.0338$ ) higher lactose disappearance in the stomach and a trend ( $P=0.0718$ )  
136 towards higher ileal available protein compared to the calves fed using the medium teats.

137

138 Photographic evidence showed consistent differences between those fed using the medium  
139 versus the slow release teats (Figures 1 and 2 below). The medium teat fed calves had large  
140 clots of curded milk surrounded by watery fluid in the main chamber of the undeveloped,  
141 simple stomach. However, in the calves reared using the slow release teats, the ingested milk  
142 was curded into much smaller, uniform pieces surrounded by a thicker liquid. These  
143 characteristics were consistent for both the seven and 14 day olds calves. This indicated that  
144 initial digestion in those fed with slow teats had much larger surface area of the consumed milk  
145 during the first stage of digestion (i.e. reaction with rennet in the simple stomach). This may  
146 directly reflect the slower, regular flow of milk into the calf – which is then interacted with  
147 rennet in smaller, more discreet aliquots. Overall, it would be logical to expect that such a  
148 larger and more regular surface area may be related to rate of digestion in the upper intestines.

149

150 During the trial, it was observed that group-housed calves fed the medium flow teats had a  
151 much greater incidence of hyperactivity immediately post feeding and were more likely to  
152 engage in non-nutritive sucking of each other's body parts (including muzzle, navel and udder).  
153 Although this was not the focus of the current trial and hence was not monitored in terms of  
154 occurrence or duration, further research is warranted into these observations.

155

## 156 **Discussion**

157 The data showed that feeding a medium or slow release teat had a significant impact on  
158 consumption speed, which was related to the age/feeding phase, and in the coagulation  
159 characteristics of milk in the immature stomach of calves. Other researchers have previously  
160 reported such differences in ingestion time – although these were via other suckling systems  
161 and varied from the design and control of the teats used in this experiment (Haley *et al.*, 1998;  
162 Herskin *et al.*, 2010). The slow feeding teats increased consumption time by double that seen  
163 in the medium feeding teats for the whole period. In addition, this appeared to have an effect  
164 on initial digestion via coagulation with rennet, whereby calves fed with the medium release  
165 teats developed large coagulated clots of milk in watery fluid two hours post feeding, whereas  
166 the slow teat fed calves have a more 'porridge-like' consistency of small coagulated fragments  
167 within a thicker fluid. However, there is little or no published information regarding the  
168 relationship between speed of intake and milk coagulation, so it is not possible to compare this  
169 against other trials, or to determine an optimal speed for milk coagulation, surface area and  
170 digestion. The calves fed using the slow teats had higher lactose disappearance in the stomach  
171 and a trend towards higher protein in the ileum which may be related to one another, indicating  
172 that feeding speed and curding characteristics had an impact on milk digestibility. These  
173 differences may be due to slow feeding eliminating 'overflow' in the immature abomasum, as  
174 discussed by Radostits and Bell (1970) and Blowey (2008), as well as negating the flow of

175 undigested ‘by-pass’ milk nutrients into the lower intestines (Porter, 1969; Blowey, 2008). In  
176 addition, the calves fed using the slow teats had higher ADG when calculated from 1-42 days  
177 of age, which may be attributed to the higher digestibility of lactose as a primary energy source  
178 seen in the calves fed using the slow flow teats.

179

## 180 **Conclusions**

181 Using slow delivery teats to feed calves from day old to weaning appears to have an important  
182 impact on digestive processes in the immature gut. This may be responsible for the strong trend  
183 seen in the 42 d ADG. Although meal intake only showed small numerical advantages in the  
184 slow teat-fed calves, improvements in digestion and rumen development in young calves may  
185 also assist in the digestion of other feeds, apart from milk, and may have further impacts on  
186 performance at weaning and during maturation. It may be that using this novel, slow release  
187 teat system can be expected to reduce scours and other digestive problems in young calves  
188 during peak milk intake (up to 15 d of age).

189

190 The digestibility results indicated that future studies need to focus on 14 day old calves where  
191 important differences were seen despite the fact that this study only had three calves per  
192 treatment. From this study further research is warranted to determine how milk curdling  
193 differences occur between the slow and medium teat fed calves in order to understand the  
194 consistent differences seen in coagulation particle sizes observed. In addition, future trials  
195 should include monitoring the behavioural element of suckling speeds from calfeterias.

196

## 197 **References**

198 Albright, J.L., and Arave, C.W. (1997). The behavior of cattle. CAB International,  
199 Wallingford, UK.



200 Appleby, M.C., Weary, D.M., and Chua, B. (2001). Performance and feeding behavior of  
201 calves on ad libitum milk from artificial teats. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* **74**  
202 (3): 191-201.

203 Blowey, R.W. (2008). Digestive disorders of calves. Pages 231-238 in *Bovine Medicine:*  
204 *Diseases and Husbandry of Cattle*. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. A.H. Andrews, R.W. Blowey, I. Boyd and  
205 R.G. Eddy, ed. John Wiley and Sons, NJ, USA.

206 de Passillé, A.M.B. (2001). Sucking motivation and related problems in calves. *Applied Animal*  
207 *Behaviour Science*. **72**: 175-187.

208 Frantzen, J., Toullec, R., Thivend, P., and Mathieu, C.M. (1973). Influence de la coagulation  
209 des protéines sur la vidange stomacale chez le veau pré ruminant. *Annals of Biology*  
210 *Animals Biochemistry and Biophysics*. **13**: 718.

211 Haley, D.B., Rushen, J., Duncan, I.J.H., Widowski, T.M. and de Passille, A.M. (1998). Effects  
212 of resistance to milk flow and the provision of hay on nonnutritive sucking by dairy  
213 calves. *Journal of Dairy Science*. **81**:2165-2172.

214 Herskin, M.S., Skjoth, F. and Jensen, M.B. (2010). Effects of hunger level and tube diameter  
215 on the feeding behavior of teat-fed dairy calves. *Journal of Dairy Science* **93** (5):2053-  
216 2059.

217 Jasper, J., and Weary, D.M. (2002). Effects of ad libitum milk intake on dairy cows. *Journal*  
218 *of Dairy Science* **85**: 3054-3058.

219 Jensen, M.B. (2003). The effects of feeding method, milk allowance and social factors on milk  
220 feeding behavior and cross-sucking in group housed dairy calves. *Applied Animal*  
221 *Behavior Science* **80** (3): 191-206.

222 Jensen, M.B., and Budde, M. (2006). The effects of milk feeding method and group size on  
223 behavior and cross-sucking in group-housed dairy calves. *Journal of Dairy Science* **89**  
224 (12): 4778-4783.

- 225 Jung, J., and Lidfors, L. (2001). Effects of amount of milk, milk flow and access to a rubber  
226 teat on cross-sucking and non-nutritive sucking in dairy calves. *Applied Animal*  
227 *Behavior Science* **72** (3): 201-213.
- 228 Longenbach, J.I., and Heinrichs, A.J. (1998). A review of the importance and physiological  
229 role of curd formation in the abomasums of young calves. *Animal Feed Science and*  
230 *Technology* **73** (1-2): 85-97.
- 231 Margerison, J.K., Preston, T.R., Berry, N. and Phillips C.J.C. (2003). Cross-sucking and other  
232 oral behaviours in calves and their relation to cow suckling and food provision. *Applied*  
233 *Animal Behavior Science* **80**: 277-286.
- 234 Porter, J.W.G. (1969). Digestion in the pre-ruminant animal. *Proceedings of the Nutrition*  
235 *Society* **28** (1): 115-121.
- 236 Radostits, O.M., and Bell, J.M. (1970). Nutrition of the pre-ruminant dairy calf with special  
237 reference to the digestion and absorption of nutrients: a review. *Canadian Journal of*  
238 *Animal Science* **50**:405-452.
- 239 Smith, R.H., and Sissons, J.W. (1975). The effect of different feeds, including those containing  
240 soya-bean products, on the passage of digesta from the abomasum of the preruminant  
241 calf. *British Journal of Nutrition* **33**: 329-349.
- 242 Strudsholm, F. (1988). The effect of curd formation in the abomasum on the digestion of milk  
243 replacers in preruminant calves. *Acta Agricultura Scandinavia* **38** (3): 321-327.
- 244 Ternouth, J.H., and Roy, J.H.B. (1973). The effect of diet and feeding technique on digestive  
245 function in the calf. *Annals of Veterinary Research* **4** (1): 19-30.
- 246 Wise, G.H., and Lemaster, J.P. (1968). Response of calves to open-pail and nipple-pail  
247 systems. *Journal of Dairy Science* **51**: 452-456.
- 248

249 **Table 1.** Milk and meal intake of calves fed using either medium or slow teat delivery systems

Parameter	Medium Teat	Slow Teat
Whole period min/l	2.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.2 <sup>b</sup>
Colostrum min/l	3.2 <sup>a</sup>	5.7 <sup>b</sup>
50/50 min/l	2.00 <sup>a</sup>	4.2 <sup>b</sup>
Whole milk min/l	1.8 <sup>a</sup>	3.3 <sup>b</sup>
Meal intake calf/d kg	0.165 <sup>a</sup>	0.167 <sup>a</sup>
Meal intake/pen kg	1.383 <sup>a</sup>	1.52 <sup>a</sup>

250 <sup>a,b</sup> Means within a row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.0001)

251

252 **Table 2.** Digestibility in calves at 7 and 14 days of age fed using either medium or slow teat  
 253 delivery systems

Parameter	Calf Age	Units	Medium Teat	Slow Teat	P value
Protein stomach	7	%	12.9	16.5	0.6497
Lactose disappearance stomach	7	%	74.3	80.0	0.5606
Protein ileum	7	%	6.4	6.3	0.9615
Lactose disappearance ileum	7	%	79	82.3	0.8485
Protein rectum	7	%	20.8	19.17	0.5984
Lactose disappearance rectum	7	%	99.0	98.7	0.6667
Protein stomach	14	%	10.6	7.3	0.1528
Lactose disappearance stomach	14	%	71.7 <sup>a</sup>	92 <sup>b</sup>	0.0338
Protein ileum	14	%	5.7	8.3*	0.0718
Lactose disappearance ileum	14	%	75.0	85.0	0.1647
Protein rectum	14	%	11.0	13.0	0.3765
Lactose disappearance rectum	14	%	98.3	99.3	0.1012

254 <sup>a,b</sup> Means within a row with different superscripts differ significantly (P<0.05)

255 \*Denotes strong trend

256

257 **Figure 1.** A calf fed using medium flow milk teat at 7 days of age; large clots of coagulated  
258 milk in watery fluid



259

260

261 **Figure 2.** A calf fed using slow flow milk teat at 7 days of age; smaller clots of coagulated  
262 milk in thicker fluid

263



264

265

266

267

268